DEVELOPMENT OF A SPARROW MODEL OF FLUVIAL SEDIMENT FOR THE CONTERMINOUS UNITED STATES

Gregory E. Schwarz[1], Richard A. Smith[2], Richard B. Alexander[3], and John R. Gray[4]

ABSTRACT

Suspended sediment has long been recognized as an important contaminant affecting water resources. Besides its direct role in determining water clarity, bridge scour and reservoir storage, sediment serves as a vehicle for the transport of many binding contaminants, including nutrients, trace metals, semi-volatile organic compounds, and numerous pesticides (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2000a). This study uses long-term data on sediment concentrations over the period 1970 to 2004 from the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Information System (NWIS) to estimate a model of mean sediment flux for the conterminous U.S. The model incorporates data from numerous sources, including the National Resources Inventory (NRI) estimates of wind and water soil erosion, land use information from the National Land Cover Data (NLCD), soil properties from the State Soil Geographic Database (STATSGO), reservoir characteristics obtained from the National Inventory of Dams (NID), and point source information from the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). Due to the importance of stream channels as potential sediment sources and sinks, the model is developed spatially over the Reach File 1 (RF1) network. Additionally, the model makes use of long-term sedimentation rates compiled in the Reservoir Sedimentation Survey Information System (RESIS) as additional variables to be used to estimate sediment attenuation processes in reservoirs. The results show that at the national scale channels represent an important source of sediment and reservoirs are an important sink, implying that the transport of sediment is not in a steady state. The results also show that erosion estimates from the NRI are not particularly important explanatory variables for instream sediment as compared to underlying soil, climate and topographic characteristics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suspended sediment has long been recognized as an important contaminant affecting water resources. Besides its direct role in determining water clarity, bridge scour and reservoir storage, sediment serves as a vehicle for the transport of many sorbed contaminants, including nutrients,

---

[1] Research Economist, U.S. Geological Survey, 413 National Center, Reston, Virginia, 20192, USA. Phone: 1-703-648-5718 Fax: 1-703-648-5295 Email: gschwarz@usgs.gov

[2] Research Hydrologist, U.S. Geological Survey, 413 National Center, Reston, Virginia, 20192, USA. Phone: 1-303-541-3032 Fax: 1-703-648-5295 Email: rsmith1@usgs.gov

[3] Research Hydrologist, U.S. Geological Survey, 413 National Center, Reston, Virginia, 20192, USA. Phone: 1-703-648-6869 Fax: 1-703-648-5295 Email: ralex@usgs.gov

[4] Hydrologist, U.S. Geological Survey, 413 National Center, Reston, Virginia, 20192, USA. Phone: 1-703-648-5318 Fax: 1-703-648-6373 Email: jrgray@usgs.gov

trace metals, semi-volatile organic compounds, and numerous pesticides (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000). Recent efforts to address water-quality concerns through the Total Maximum Daily Load process have identified sediment as a prevalent cause of impairment in the Nation's streams and rivers (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2005). Moreover, sediment has been identified as a medium for the transport and sequestration of organic carbon, playing a potentially important role in understanding sources and sinks in the global carbon budget (Stallard, 1998). Considering that the annual physical, chemical, and biological damages attributable to fluvial sediment in North America alone total about $20 billion (Osterkamp and others, 2004), the need to better understand and manage fluvial-sediment fluxes has never been greater.

A comprehensive understanding of sediment fate and transport is considered essential to the design and implementation of effective plans for sediment management (Osterkamp and others, 1998, U.S. General Accounting Office, 1990). An extensive literature search addressing the problem of quantifying sediment transport has produced a number of methods for estimating its flux (see Cohn, 1995, and Robertson and Roerish, 1999, for useful surveys). The accuracy of these methods is compromised by uncertainty in the concentration measurements and by the highly episodic nature of sediment movements, particularly when the methods are applied to smaller basins. For annual or decadal flux estimates, however, the methods are generally reliable if calibrated with extended periods of data (Robertson and Roerish, 1999). A substantial literature also supports the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) (Natural Resources Conservation Service, 1983), an engineering method for estimating sheet and rill erosion, although the empirical credentials of the USLE have recently been questioned (Trimble and Crosson, 2000). Conversely, relatively little direct evidence is available concerning the fate of sediment. The common practice of quantifying sediment fate with a sediment delivery ratio, estimated from a simple empirical relation with upstream basin area, does not articulate the relative importance of individual storage sites within a basin (Wolman, 1977). Rates of sediment deposition in reservoirs and floodplains can be determined from empirical measurement, but only a limited number of sites have been monitored and net rates of deposition or loss from other potential sinks and sources is largely unknown (Stallard, 1998). In particular, little is known about how much sediment lost from fields ultimately makes its way to stream channels and how much sediment is subsequently stored in or lost from the stream bed (Meade and Parker, 1985, Trimble and Crosson, 2000).

This paper reports on recent progress made to empirically address the question of sediment fate and transport on a national scale. The model presented here is based on the SPAtially Referenced Regression On Watershed attributes (SPARROW) methodology, first used to estimate the distribution of nutrients in streams and rivers of the United States, and subsequently shown to describe land and stream processes affecting the delivery of nutrients (Smith and others, 1997, Alexander and others, 2000, Preston and Brakebill, 1999). The model makes use of numerous spatial datasets, available at the national level, to explain long-term sediment water-quality conditions in major streams and rivers throughout the United States. Sediment sources are identified using sediment erosion rates from the National Resources Inventory (NRI) (Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2000) and apportioned over the landscape according to 30-meter resolution land-use information from the National Land Cover Data set (NLCD) (U.S. Geological Survey, 2000a). Over 76,000 reservoirs from the National Inventory of Dams (NID) (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1996) are identified as potential sediment sinks. Other, non-anthropogenic sources and sinks are identified using soil information from the State Soil Survey Geographic (STATSGO) database (Schwarz and Alexander, 1995) and spatial coverages representing surficial rock type and vegetative cover. The SPARROW model empirically relates these diverse spatial datasets to estimates of long-term, mean annual sediment flux computed from concentration and flow measurements collected over the period 1985-95 from more than 400 monitoring stations maintained by the National Stream Quality Accounting Network (NASQAN) (Alexander and others, 1998), the National Water Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program, and U.S. Geological

Survey Water Science Centers (Turcios and Gray, 2001). The calibrated model is used to estimate sediment flux for over 60,000 stream segments included in the River Reach File 1 (RF1) stream network (Alexander and others, 1999).

SPARROW uses statistical methods to calibrate a simple, structural model of riverine water quality, one that imposes mass balance in accounting for changes in contaminant flux. As applied here, the mass-balance approach facilitates the interpretation of model results in terms of physical processes affecting sediment transport, and makes possible the estimation of various rates of sediment generation and loss associated with stream channels and features of the landscape. The statistical approach provides a basis for assessing the error of these inferred rates and the error in extrapolated estimates of sediment flux made for streams in the RF1 network.

An important implication of the holistic modeling approach adopted in this analysis is that estimates of sediment production and loss are based on, and therefore consistent with, measurements of in-stream flux. Other ancillary information, such as direct measurements of long-term sediment storage and release from reservoirs (Steffen, 1996), are incorporated into the analysis by specifying additional equations explaining these ancillary variables. The imposition of cross-equation constraints affords this information a statistically consistent weight in explaining in-stream sediment flux. Thus, the methodology described here represents a general framework for synthesizing a wide spectrum of available information relevant to the understanding of sediment fate and transport.

2.   METHODOLOGY

The SPARROW methodology (Smith and others, 1997) has been modified to incorporate greater spatial resolution. The primary spatial reference frame for the model continues to be the RF1 reach network: all point sources and landscape features are referenced to a particular RF1 reach. Considerable internal structure, however, has been added to each reach. Reach watersheds are delineated using the 1-kilometer HYDRO 1K digital elevation model (DEM) (U.S. Geological Survey, 2000b) and explicit pathways are defined between landscape features and their adjacent RF1 streams. The delineation method uses a "burn-in" process whereby the RF1 reach is first digitized in the 1-kilometer grid and then the elevations of RF1 grid cells are artificially lowered to insure that simulated flow from surrounding cells moves into them. Flow directions based on the steepest descent determine the extent of the reach watershed and the undefined tributary flow paths leading from the landscape to the RF1 channel cells. To insure the accurate determination of in-stream travel time, RF1 stream pathways continue to be defined by the line work of RF1 channels rather than by the grid-cell representation.

A schematic of a typical reach watershed, illustrating its spatial structure and associated features, is given in figure 1. Flow directions, represented by the arrows crossing each adjacent grid cell, define the movement of water in undefined tributaries leading to the RF1 stream. The "burn-in" method insures that all flow paths intersect a reach cell at some point within the watershed, although inconsistencies between the RF1 reach and the DEM-defined stream channel may artificially lengthen "off-RF1" flow paths and shorten "on-RF1" paths (see the figure for an example). The length of the flow path provides a rough estimate of the distance that sediment must travel in smaller tributaries before reaching the larger streams included in the RF1 network. Travel time in small streams versus large rivers has been shown to be an important factor affecting the in-stream delivery of nutrients (Alexander and others, 2000) and could be of similar importance for sediment.

The enhanced spatial structure afforded by the DEM facilitates the incorporation of spatially integrating features into the model. "Off-channel" reservoirs, located on the grid net according to their geographic coordinates provided by the NID, act as potential sinks for sediment emanating from cells with flow paths that intersect the reservoir grid cell. Similarly, "off-RF1" monitoring stations can be located on the grid and given a basin representation. Although these stations are not

useful for calibrating the delivery process within RF1 channels, they offer a high-resolution view of other processes affecting the movement of sediment across the landscape.

 Other important spatial features identified in the model include point sources, located relative to RF1 streams based on geographic coordinates (Rubin, 1999), and land associated with uses that serve as likely sources or sinks for sediment. Point-source suspended-sediment loadings are determined by methods developed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) for the National Coastal Pollutant Discharge Inventory (Pacheco and Pait, 1993). Land use is taken from the 21-class, 30-meter resolution NLCD, and summarized according to the number of 30-meter cells of a given land-use class that are mapped to a corresponding 1-kilometer cell. NLCD land use is used to refine the areal extent of the various sediment erosion rates associated with different land covers identified in the NRI.


Figure 1 Schematic of a typical reach watershed illustrating the grid cell structure and identified attributes.

 The mean annual suspended-sediment flux generated within and leaving reach watershed j, referred to as the incremental reach flux F_j, can be expressed as

$$F_j = \sum_{c=1}^{N_j} e^{-\boldsymbol{\delta}'\mathbf{d}_{c,j} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}'\mathbf{Z}_{c,j}} \boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{S}_{c,j}, \tag{1}$$

where N_j is the number of 1-kilometer grid cells, indexed by c, in reach watershed j, $\mathbf{d}_{c,j}$ is a vector of factors describing the pathway from cell c to the outlet of reach j, $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is a vector of coefficients associated with the pathway variables, $\mathbf{Z}_{c,j}$ is a vector of landscape and climatic characteristics affecting the delivery of sediment within cell c, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is a vector of coefficients associated with the $\mathbf{Z}$ variables, $\mathbf{S}_{c,j}$ is a vector of sediment sources, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a vector of associated source coefficients.

 The vector $\mathbf{d}$ consists of variables representing the landscape flow path distance traversed to reach the RF1 stream, the mean slope of the "off-RF1" flow path, the time of travel incurred along the RF1 stream, variables affecting the retention of sediment in any reservoir located along the landscape or RF1 flow path (such as streamflow, reservoir age, and NID estimates of surface area or

storage volume), and other variables identifying possible sinks along the flow path such as forested land or land classified by STATSGO as wetlands or alluvium. Variables included in the $\mathbf{Z}$ vector include runoff, overland flow, slope and indicators of soils or other factors affecting the movement of sediment off the field to channels. The source vector, $\mathbf{S}$, includes sediment erosion from the NRI and point-source loadings.

The 1-kilometer spatial detail used to determine F_j, corresponding to nearly 8 million grid cells for the more than 60,000 reaches in the conterminous United States, places a heavy computational burden on the iterative non-linear least squares calibration method. To reduce the number of computations, the reach model is simplified by assuming the $\mathbf{Z}$ variables take a single mean value $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_j$ for all cells in the reach and, for the $\mathbf{d}$ variables, by substituting a second-order Taylor approximation about the reach-level mean $\overline{\mathbf{d}}_j$. The imposition of a common $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_j$ value for all cells in a reach is not restrictive given the spatial coarseness of existing information. The resulting approximation is

$$F_j \approx e^{-\delta'\overline{\mathbf{d}}_j + \alpha'\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_j} \sum_{c=1}^{N_j} \left\{ \left(1 - \delta'\left(\mathbf{d}_{c,j} - \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j\right)\right)\mathbf{S}'_{c,j}\beta + \left(\beta \otimes \delta\right)' \left(\mathbf{S}_{c,j} \otimes \left(\mathbf{d}_{c,j} - \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j\right)\left(\mathbf{d}_{c,j} - \overline{\mathbf{d}}_j\right)'\right)\delta \right\}. \qquad (2)$$

This approximation effectively converts the unit of observation in (1) from a 1-kilometer grid cell to a reach segment, replacing the non-linear terms dependent on individual cell values with non-linear and linear terms dependent on reach-level means, variances and covariances of the $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ variables.

To complete the model structure, individual reaches are combined to form a nested basin. Each nested basin i consists of the set $J(i)$ of reaches upstream from monitoring station i and below any monitoring station located farther upstream (if such stations exist) (see figure 2). The sediment load for nested basin i, denoted L_i, is equal to the sum of the incremental fluxes from the nested reach segments $j \in J(i)$, plus the monitored sediment discharged from the set $U(i)$ of nested basins bounding the upper drainage of nested basin i (there may be more than one) and delivered to monitoring station i. The sediment load L_i is related to the upstream incremental fluxes, F_j, and monitored loads, L_u, according to a log-linear relation

$$\ln(L_i) = \ln\left(\sum_{j \ni J(i)} e^{-\delta'\mathbf{d}_{j,i}} F_j + \sum_{u \ni U(i)} e^{-\delta'\mathbf{d}_{u,i}} L_u \right) + e_i, \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{d}_{j,i}$ represents a vector consisting of the same variables in $\mathbf{d}_{c,j}$, but corresponding to the RF1-reach path extending from the downstream-end of reach j to the ith monitoring station (accordingly, $\mathbf{d}_{j,i}$ has values of 0 for all variables pertaining to "off-RF1" flow paths). In (3), an independent error term e_i has been added to represent the combined effect of measurement and model error introduced at nested basin i.

Data on reservoir storage can be incorporated directly into the model by introducing an additional storage equation. Let $\mathbf{d}^*$ and δ^* pertain to the subset of path variables determining the rate sediment is stored in reservoirs, and define R_k as the annual amount of stored sediment measured at a reservoir on reach k (a similar analysis can be done for "off-RF1" reservoirs). The reservoir storage equation takes the form

$$\ln(R_k) = \ln\left(\sum_{j \ni J(k)} \left(e^{\delta^{*'}\mathbf{d}^*_{j,k}} - 1\right)F_j + \sum_{u \ni U(k)} \left(e^{\delta^{*'}\mathbf{d}^*_{u,k}} - 1\right)L_u \right) + w_k, \qquad (4)$$

where w_k is a random error.

Joint estimation of (3) and (4), with the F_j and corresponding α, β, and δ parameters defined by (2), is by non-linear three-stage least squares. To insure robust estimates and to facilitate the estimation of prediction error, the calibration of the model is repeated 200 times employing a bootstrap estimation algorithm (see Smith and others, 1997).

The flexible mathematical structure utilized in (1)-(3) is capable of accommodating a number of hypotheses concerning sediment fate and transport. Sites of sediment storage, identified in the model as a subset of the **d** variables, can act as sediment sources or sinks, depending on the sign of corresponding δ coefficients. A random coefficient form of the model allows storage sites to serve as sources in some regions and sinks in others. Such behavior can be inferred statistically by relating the prevalence of storage sites in nested basins to the magnitude of the squared residual e in these basins (Godfrey, 1988). Non-point sources of sediment, such as soil erosion included under **S**, are distinguished from sediment losses from storage (e.g., an alluvial plain) identified with **d**, on the assumption that the former is a primary process caused by weathering whereas the latter is a consequence of the accumulation of previously weathered material that is later released to streams under changing hydraulic conditions. Accordingly, the potential for storage loss in the model depends on the extent of accumulated upstream soil erosion caused by weathering. The empirical validity of the USLE estimate of soil erosion can be evaluated through statistical hypothesis tests conducted on the relevant β coefficients. Alternative measures of soil erosion can also be empirically evaluated in the model by substituting variables serving as determinants of the USLE for the USLE erosion estimate.


Figure 2 Schematic of a nested basin defined by upstream and downstream monitoring stations.

The estimation of long-term suspended-sediment load at a monitoring station is based on the regression of the natural logarithm of instantaneous suspended-sediment concentration on current and lagged values of the natural logarithm of daily flow and other variables representing seasonal and trend effects. If the station has concentration data collected more frequently than a weekly basis, the regression model is modified to account for serial correlation. To be included in the analysis, a station must have at least 3 years of data between 1985 and 1995. Only data within the period 1985-95 are included in the regression.

Mean annual suspended-sediment load is estimated by first simulating load for each day over the 1985-95 period and then averaging daily values on an annual basis. Simulated loads are obtained by taking the exponential of the sum of the predicted daily load given by the calibrated regression model, with the time trend variable set to a base year of 1992, and a randomly selected residual from the regression model. For days having actual monitoring data, the daily load is computed by multiplying the measured instantaneous concentration by the daily flow. If a station has a data

record with sufficient frequency to estimate a serial correlation parameter, the simulated daily load is based on the conditional prediction associated with past and future observed loads, plus a normally distributed random error having a correlation structure consistent with the conditional prediction and with the variance estimated by the regression model. The Monte Carlo process used to estimate simulated daily loads for the 1985-95 period is repeated 200 times, providing 200 values for estimating the mean and standard deviation of the average annual sediment load for a site.

3.   SUMMARY

The model described here is intended to empirically evaluate regional-scale processes affecting the long-term (i.e., decadal) transport of sediment in rivers. Additionally, the model will provide estimates of sediment mean annual flux for every reach included in the RF1 network. Error estimates for these process evaluations and stream predictions are determined using robust bootstrap methods. Future work will address the dynamic behavior of sediment flux associated with non-steady state streamflow conditions.

REFERENCES

Alexander, R.B., Slack, J.R., Ludtke, A.S., Fitzgerald, K.K., and Schertz, T.L., (1998). "Data from Selected U.S. Geological Survey National Stream Water-Quality Monitoring Networks", *Water Resources Research*, 34(9), pp. 2401-2405.

Alexander, R.B., Brakebill, J.W., Brew, R.E., and Richard A. Smith, (1999). *ERF1—Enhanced River Reach File 1.2* (U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 99-457, Reston, Virginia).

Alexander, R.B., Smith, R.A., and Schwarz, G.E., (2000). "Effect of Channel Size on The Delivery of Nitrogen to The Gulf of Mexico", *Nature*, 403, pp. 758-61.

Cohn, T. A., (1995). Recent advances in statistical methods for the estimation of sediment and nutrient transport in rivers, U.S. National Report to IUGG, 1991-1994, *Review of Geophysics*, 33 Supplemental, available on the World Wide Web at URL http://webserver.cr.usgs.gov/sediment/ accessed on 11/13/00.

Godfrey, L.G., (1988). *Misspecification Tests in Econometrics*, Cambridge, pp. 252.

Meade, R. H., and Parker, R. S., (1985). "Sediment in Rivers of The United States", in *National Water Summary 1984*, U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2275, U.S. Government Printing Office, pp. 49-60.

Natural Resources Conservation Service, (1983). *National Engineering Handbook*, Section 3 Sedimentation, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Natural Resources Conservation Service, (2000). *National Resources Inventory, 1992*, U.S. Department of Agriculture, data available on the World Wide Web at URL http://www.nhq.nrcs.usda.gov/NRI/, accessed on 11/15/00.

Osterkamp, W.R., Heilman, Phil, and Gray, J.R., (2004). "An Invitation to Participate in A North American Sediment-Monitoring Network", American Geophysical Union, EOS, 2004, 84, No. 40, October 5, 2004, pp. 386, 388-389.

Osterkamp, W. R., Heilman, P., and Lane, L. J., (1998). "Economic Considerations of a Continental Sediment Monitoring Program", *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 13(4), pp. 12-24.

Pacheco, Percy A. and Anthony S. Pait, (1993). "National Coastal Polllutant Discharge Inventory: Point Source Methods Document", Silver Spring, MD: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency, U.S. National Ocean Service, Pollution Source Characterization Branch.

Preston, S.D. and Brakebill, J.W., (1999). "Application of Spatially Referenced Regression Modeling for The Evaluation of Total Nitrogen Loading in The Chesapeake Bay Watershed", *U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 99-4054*, 12 pp.

Robertson, D. M. and Roerish, E. D., (1999). "Influence Of Various Water Quality Sampling Strategies on Load Estimates For Small Streams", *Water Resources Research*, 35(12), pp. 3747-3759.

Rubin, S., (1999). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, written communication.

Schwarz, G.E. and Alexander, R.B., (1995). *State Soil Geographic (STATSGO) Data Base for the Conterminous United States*, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-449, Reston, Virginia.

Smith, R.A., Schwarz, G.E., and Alexander, R.B., (1997). "Regional Interpretation of Water-Quality Monitoring Data", *Water Resources Research*, 33(12), pp. 2781-98.

Stallard, R. F., 1998, Terrestrial sedimentation and the carbon cycle, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 12(2), pp. 231-57.

Steffen, L. J., (1996). "A Reservoir Sedimentation Survey Information System – RESIS", in *Proceedings of the Sixth Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference*, March 10-14, 1996, Las Vegas, NV: Sponsored by the Subcommittee on Sedimentation, Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data, p. 29-37.

Trimble, S. W. and Crosson, P., (2000). "U.S. Soil Erosion Rates – Myth and Reality", *Science*, 289, pp. 248-250.

Turcios, L.M., and Gray, J.R., (2001). U.S. Geological Survey sediment and ancillary data on the World Wide Web: Proceedings of the 7th Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference, Reno, Nevada, March 25-29, Vol. 1, Poster 31-36.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, (1996). "National Inventory of Dams", data available on the World Wide Web at URL http://crunch.tec.army.mil/ accessed 11/13/00.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (2000). "National Water Quality Inventory", 1998 report to Congress, available on the World Wide Web at URL http://www.epa.gov/305b/98report/, accessed 9/15/00.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (2005). "Total Maximum Daily Loads, Top 100 Impairments The Quality of Our Nation's Water", 1998: EPA841-S-00-001, available on the world Wide Web at URL http://oaspub.epa.gov/waters/national_rept.control#TOP_IMP, accessed 6/29/05.

U.S. General Accounting Office, (1990). "Water Pollution – Greater EPA Leadership Needed to Reduce Non-Point Source Pollution", *U.S. General Accounting Office Report* GAO/RCED-91-10, 56 pp.

U.S. Geological Survey, (2000a). "National Land Cover Data", data available on the World Wide Web at URL http://edcwww.cr.usgs.gov/programs/lccp/natllandcover.html, accessed 11/13/00.

U.S. Geological Survey, (2000b). "Hydro 1k elevation derivative database", data available on the World Wide Web at URL http://edcdaac.usgs.gov/gtopo30/hydro/namerica.html accessed 11/13/00.

Wolman, M. G., (1977). "Changing Needs and Opportunities in the Sediment Field", *Water Resources Research*, 13(1), pp. 50-4.